

# **MINE ACTION COORDINATION AND PARTNERSHIPS**

A study commissioned by the MASG

Ian Mansfield

New York, 5 September 2012

# Background to Study

- Aim of study
  - Map donor interest and support to mine action
  - Prepare options for MASG to improve donor coordination and partnerships
- Terms of Reference agreed at March 2012 MASG meeting in Geneva
- Draft report presented today

# Contents

- Introduction
- Context
- Donor Interest and Support for Mine Action
- Review of Existing Coordination Mechanisms
  - Global Level
  - Regional Level
  - National level
- Coordination role of International Treaties
- Options for the MASG to consider

# Context

- 72 countries and 7 territories affected
- Estimate 50 national mine action programs
- Most reliant on some form of donor funding
- GICHD analysis gives trends in sector
  - Increase in meeting Treaty obligations
  - Shift to wider explosives / weapons contamination
  - Increase focus on value-for-money

# Donor Interest for Mine Action

- US\$480 million from 31 donors in 2010
- Top eight donors gave 78% of this
- All are MASG members
  - USA, EC, Japan, Norway, Canada, Australia, Germany and Netherlands
- GICHD study on donor trends
- Fewer donors have specific 'Mine Action' policy or strategy

# Review of Existing Coordination Mechanisms

- Global
  - MASG – only donor body
  - United Nations
  - Trust Funds
- Regional
  - EC, ITF, OAS and Pacific Islands Forum
- National Level Coordination

# Coordination Role of International Treaties

- Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention
- Convention on Cluster Munitions
- CCW

# Options for MASG <sup>(1)</sup>

- Be more pro-active in coordinating work of members, through;
  - Consult on strategic policy issues
  - Joint assessments, monitoring and evaluation
  - Exchange information
- Establish principles for donor coordination



## Options for MASG (2)

- Regional Level – assist PIF
- Country Level
  - Focus on emergency or completion countries
  - Discuss emerging issues in MASG
  - One or two donors lead
  - Promote national ownership + partnership principles
- Framework for in-country donor coordination

# Questions?

